

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

FIRST APPEAL No 6611 of 1998

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE K.R.VYAS

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO  
to see the judgements?
  2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
  3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO  
of the judgement?
  4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO  
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution  
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
  5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

-----  
GANESHBHAI KAMABHAI BHARWAD THRO'HEIRS RUPABEN WD/O GANESH  
Versus

GOVINDBHAI NATHABHAI PATEL DECD.THRO'HEIRS SAJJANBEN WD/O  
-----

Appearance:

MR BN RAVAL for Petitioners  
MR CG SHARMA for Respondent No. 1  
-----

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE K.R.VYAS

Date of decision: 27/12/1999

ORAL JUDGEMENT

The parties to the proceedings have filed consent terms duly signed by them and their respective learned advocates. The respondents no. 2,3 and 4 are present. The respondent no.1 is represented by Mr. C.G.Sharma. They admit the contents as well as their signatures on

the consent terms. The appellants are represented by their constituted power of attorney Ratnabhai Jivanbhai Bharwad. The said power of attorney is taken on record. Ratnabhai Jivanbhai Bharwad, constituted power of attorney of the appellants who is also present in the Court, admits the contents of the consent terms.

The appellants have filed an undertaking along with the consent terms and possession receipt, which are taken on record. As provided in the consent terms, the appeal filed by the appellants stands withdrawn and the judgment and decree of the trial court in Civil Suit No. 1363 of 1985 is hereby confirmed. There will be no order as to costs althroughout. Decree to be drawn in terms of the consent terms.

-----

sonar/-